

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,363	03/02/2007	Paul J. Joynt	P06691US1-187	5198	
34082 ZARLEY LAV	7590 10/27/201 V FIRM P.L.C.	0	EXAMINER		
CAPITAL SQU	JARE	LEE, DOUGLAS S			
400 LOCUST, DES MOINES	SULLE 200 LIA 50309-2350		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2121		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/27/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

bstills@zarleylaw.com

Application No. JOYNT ET AL. 10/577,363

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
	DOUGLAS S. LEE	2121							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed safes 10% (6) MONTH's from the mainstig date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period witl apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH's from the mainstig date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or endended period for reply will by shatted, cause the application to become ARADONDE (38 U.S.C, § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earend patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)									
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	_ action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is						
·									
Disposition of Claims									
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 6 is/are withdre 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1.3-5 and 7-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or									
Application Papers									
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine: 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 April 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage						
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3-) Information Disclosure Statementic) (FTO/S8/05) Paper No(s)Mail Date 5/19/2009, 09/09/2009, 12/08/2009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite							

	m	

Application/Control Number: 10/577,363

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

Claim

Claims 2 and 6 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 5-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The examiner can not ascertain what the applicant intents to claim, Is it a system for downloading data from a meat processing machine and an intermediary device or a meat processing machine having an intermediary device?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1, 3, 4, and 7-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nadeau (US Pat. # 6,363,328).

Regarding claim 1, Nadeau discloses a method of downloading data from a meat processing machine comprising characterized in providing a meat processing machine; providing an intermediary device that is operatively associated with the meat processing machine; selectively actuating the intermediary device to transfer data from the meat processing machine to the intermediary device; and formulating the transferred data to be viewed (see fig. 1, element 10, cols. 5-6).

Application/Control Number: 10/577,363

Art Unit: 2121

Regarding claim 3, Nadeau discloses characterized in the transferred data is selected from the group consisting of running time, pressure settings, initials of person gather data, name of product being run, recipe being used to make the product, and meat processing information (see col. 6, lines 41-55).

Regarding claim 4, Nadeau discloses the step of transmitting the transferred data to a controller (see col. 6, lines 41-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nadeau (US Pat. # 6,363,328) in view of Abe (US Pat. # 5,758,300).
 Regarding claim 5, the sole difference between this claim and Nadeau is the intermediary device being operable to formulate the transferred data to be view.

Art Unit: 2121

However, Abe disclose a portable type diagnosing device having a display for the data to see. Nadeau and Abe are analogous art because both deal with diagnosing device for a machine. Thus it would have been obvious one skilled in the art to provide a display means with a diagnosing device so that the transferred data to be view.

Regarding claims 7-9, the sole difference between these claims and Nadeau is the intermediary device having a screen so that an operator views information. However, Abe disclose a portable type diagnosing device having a display with a screen for the data to see. Thus it would have been obvious one skilled in the art to provide a display having screen with a diagnosing device so that the transferred data to be view.

CONCLUSION

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Douglas Lee, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3745. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady, can be reached on (571) 272-3819 or via e-mail addressed to [Albert Decady@uspto.gov]. The fax number for this Group is (571) 273-8300. Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [doug.lee@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89. All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

Application/Control Number: 10/577,363 Page 5

Art Unit: 2121

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (in USA or CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. S. L./

/ALBERT DECADY/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2121